

# The Young Hong Daily News.

No. 3612 號二十一百六十三

四月二十一日

年己酉同

HONGKONG, MONDAY, 31st, MAY, 1869.

一月

廿一日五英 港香

Price \$24 per Month

## Arrivals.

May 29, H.P.M. Seven CANTON MELONS,  
13 cwt. Capt. Straker, from Fukien  
and Shantung 15th May.  
May 30, A. W. STEVENS, Amer. 526  
Ingraham, Wimpox 25th May, Tea and  
Matting. A. H. HEDD & Co.

## Departures.

May 29, PONG ALIEN for Sajon.  
May 29, BELLACABRA, for Sajon.  
May 29, SANTERON, for Sajon.  
May 29, BELLACABRA, for Sajon.  
May 29, BELLACABRA, for Bangkok.  
May 30, MONGSHIEN, for Bangkok.

## Clearances.

At The Harbour Master's Office  
May 29th.  
Mounlight, for Bangkok.  
Nacrau Consul, for Gagayan.

## Discharge.

None.

## Bills.

None.

Auction Sales To-day.

J. A. SANDLARDS,  
T. C. COLE.

J. M. ARMSTRONG,  
Household Furniture, &c.

CONSIGNMENT OF GOALS, per Douglas  
C. & Co., London, are requested to the underwriters, other  
wise the Consignee to be landed and stored at their  
cost and expense.

GEILMAN & Co.,  
Agents for the ship "Dungen Cullen",  
Huntington, 25th May, 1869.

HONGKONG HOTEL.

JOE CREAM our one at the Hotel Bar  
every day, from 5 to 7.30 p.m.

Poetry reads of all hours.

GEO. E. POTTS,  
Manager,  
1018 Hongkong, 28th May, 1869.

THE UNDERSIGNING have been appointed Soli-  
citors to the Royal Engineers against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

Hongkong, 1st August, 1869.

NOTICE.

M. R. J. THOMSON begs to intimate that he  
is now publishing a series of 40 Views  
of Hongkong price \$25.

Agents of the above Company at this Port,  
are now granted Protection against Fire to  
the extent of \$50,000 in Buildings, or on Goods  
stored therein.

GIBR. LIVINGSTON & Co.

## Intimations.

NOTICE.

THE DIRECTORS OF THE HONGKONG AND WHAMPAO DOCK COMPANY LIMITED, to call the attention of Shareholders who have not paid their share on their shares, to the following Clauses in the Articles of Association:—  
 "If a call is made for payment of any share, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof."

If a call is made for payment of any share, the Board, with the sanction of a General Meeting, may declare the share forfeited for the benefit of the Company.

By order of the Board,

G. N. MINTO,  
Secretary.

In 900—Hongkong, May 15th, 1869.

HONGKONG AND WHAMPAO DOCK COMPANY LIMITED.

SHAREHOLDERS are requested to take notice that the Ninth call of Five Dollars on the new shares of the Hongkong and Whampao Banking Corporation, due on the 1st instant, and will be payable at the office of the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation, where receipts for the payment thereof will be granted by the Company.

Interest at the rate of Twelve per cent. per annum will be charged after the above date.

By order of the Board,

GEORGE N. MINTO,  
Secretary.

In 422—Hongkong, 1st March, 1869.

HONGKONG.

THE UNION DOCK COMPANY OF HONGKONG & WHAMPAO, LIMITED.

M. ROBERT S. WALKER has this day been appointed Secretary to the Company, and Mr. JOHN ISRAEL, the present Acting Secretary, resumes his function as managing Engineer for the Company.

By order of the Board of Directors,

ROBERT S. WALKER,  
Secretary.

In 157—Hongkong, 3rd March, 1869.

UNION DOCK COMPANY OF HONGKONG AND WHAMPAO, LIMITED.

THE SHAREHOLDERS are requested to take notice that the fifth call is payable in two instalments of \$500 each, say—

On the 1st JUNE next,

at the Office of the HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.

Interest at the rate of Six per cent. will be charged after the date of the fifth call.

By order of the Board of Directors,

ROBERT S. WALKER,  
Secretary.

In 339—Hongkong, 16th February, 1869.

The Chronicle and Directory for 1869.

NOW READY.

THE Edition for 1869 is now ready for Delivery.

As already announced, the Directory is published in Two Forms, Complete at \$5, or with the Lists of Residents and Port Directories.

Copies may be obtained at the Daily Press Office, and of the following Agents:—

Messrs. DRAVEN & CO., Saville-street.

" THOMPSON & CO., Finsbury-street.

" LANE, CRAWFORD & CO., Shanghai.

" M. L. P. FRENCH & MERCHANTS' Exchange, San Francisco.

" GRO. STREET, 303, Cornhill, London.

The Address of the Daily Press from this office can be seen on Sunday evenings, 10.15, and the last newsmagazine of the day at 10.30.

The Daily Press.

HONGKONG, MAY 31, 1869.

It is satisfactory to find that the public at home are awakening to the fact that the action on the part of the Chinese towards British subjects has not been of the extremely friendly nature of late; it has become the habit to represent Col. Sykes made some pertinacious enquiry on the subject in the House of Commons; but was met by one of the usual evasive answers that it has become the habit to give upon all occasions when the China question is brought forward. The papers will be laid upon the table. Of course they will—in the full confidence that they will not read; though it is almost a moral certainty that this will be played once too often. Mr. Orwatt's explanation that the hostility of the part of the Chinese, and especially the wounding of several men in Her Majesty's service, at Swatow, "so far from being the result of our policy in China," had occurred in consequence of action taken in opposition to that policy, "was perhaps the most specious statement among the many specious and false statements that have recently been made in Parliament on Chinese affairs. It is diametrically opposed to the truth. Whatever room there may be for discussion as to the desirability or justifiability of the policy of taking steps heavily to redress grievances—with respect to which, however, such who have formed their conclusions from knowledge of China, and not from mere theory—can have very little doubt, there can be no question that that policy does attain the end of protecting foreign life and property, and rendering foreigners safe from the liability to mob attacks at the places where redress is thus obtained." Take the three most prominent instances of recent occurrence—Yangchow, Formosa, and Swatow. Until force was shown, opposition of every description prevailed, so that, so far as I was found that outrages could not be perpetrated on foreigners with impunity, they were no longer attempted. It is precisely because vigorous action was taken in the above cases that Sir Robertson Alcock was able to report, as triumphantly quoted by Mr. Orwatt, that "the Yangchow, Formosa, and Swatow there was entire security and improved position," and that "the best understanding existed with the foreign body at Pekin." With as astounding speciousness, Mr. Orwatt represents this state of affairs as the result of the communication of Lord Clarendon's instructions of 30th December, to Prince Kiso, the Goum, and the Admiral, "which circumstances happened to be mentioned in the same telegraphic despatch." The real fact, of course, was, that the security resulted from the lessons taught by the *Touching at Yangchow*, the *Algiers* at Formosa, and the *Bouwer and Cockchafer* at Swatow. It is very little likely that the communication of Lord Clarendon's instructions would affect the state of affairs at Formosa or Swatow, within a few weeks after their receipt; but they will infallibly do so within a few months in a very different way to what Mr. Orwatt represents.

The Under Secretary speaks as if the maintenance of peace was entirely a one-sided affair. "Our policy in China," he says, "is to establish and maintain friendly relations with the Government and people in China, and to extend commercial inter-

course with the Chinese Empire. We maintain at Pekin a Legation, for that purpose, and it is the duty of our Minister, in case of damage done to British subjects, to represent that damage to the Chinese Central Government, and to obtain from it that redress. Sir Robertson Alcock had, on all occasions, when such damage was made known to him, made representations to the Central Government, and invariably obtained redress, or immediate promise of redress." This is perfectly true. In the above-named cases, *immediate promises*, were given. But those promises were not carried out. It is ridiculous to speak, as if the desire on our part to maintain friendly relations with China were all that is necessary to secure that end. What other desire has there been since the Treaty of Tientsin was established, but peacefully to further our commercial interests? But if the mere peaceful and friendly relations themselves, the more obstinate and obstinate the Chinese become, all efforts on our part, to preserve friendly relations of necessity will fail.

By the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call in respect of any share, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call in respect of any share, the Board, with the sanction of a General Meeting, may declare the share forfeited for the benefit of the Company.

By order of the Board,

G. N. MINTO,  
Secretary.

In 900—Hongkong, May 15th, 1869.

HONGKONG AND WHAMPAO DOCK COMPANY LIMITED.

SHAREHOLDERS are requested to take notice that the Ninth call of Five Dollars on the new shares of the Hongkong and Whampao Banking Corporation, due on the 1st instant, and will be payable at the office of the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation, where receipts for the payment thereof will be granted by the Company.

Interest at the rate of Twelve per cent. per annum will be charged after the above date.

By order of the Board,

GEORGE N. MINTO,  
Secretary.

In 422—Hongkong, 1st March, 1869.

HONGKONG.

THE UNION DOCK COMPANY OF HONGKONG & WHAMPAO, LIMITED.

M. ROBERT S. WALKER has this day been appointed Secretary to the Company, and Mr. JOHN ISRAEL, the present Acting Secretary, resumes his function as managing Engineer for the Company.

By order of the Board of Directors,

ROBERT S. WALKER,  
Secretary.

In 157—Hongkong, 3rd March, 1869.

UNION DOCK COMPANY OF HONGKONG AND WHAMPAO, LIMITED.

THE SHAREHOLDERS are requested to take notice that the fifth call is payable in two instalments of \$500 each, say—

On the 1st JUNE next,

at the Office of the HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.

Interest at the rate of Six per cent. will be charged after the date of the fifth call.

By order of the Board of Directors,

ROBERT S. WALKER,  
Secretary.

In 339—Hongkong, 16th February, 1869.

The Chronicle and Directory for 1869.

NOW READY.

THE Edition for 1869 is now ready for Delivery.

As already announced, the Directory is published in Two Forms, Complete at \$5, or with the Lists of Residents and Port Directories.

Copies may be obtained at the Daily Press Office, and of the following Agents:—

Messrs. DRAVEN & CO., Saville-street.

" THOMPSON & CO., Finsbury-street.

" LANE, CRAWFORD & CO., Shanghai.

" M. L. P. FRENCH & MERCHANTS' Exchange, San Francisco.

" GRO. STREET, 303, Cornhill, London.

The Address of the Daily Press from this office can be seen on Sunday evenings, 10.15, and the last newsmagazine of the day at 10.30.

The Daily Press.

HONGKONG, MAY 31, 1869.

It is satisfactory to find that the public at home are awakening to the fact that the action on the part of the Chinese towards British subjects has not been of the extremely friendly nature of late; it has become the habit to represent Col. Sykes made some pertinacious enquiry on the subject in the House of Commons; but was met by one of the usual evasive answers that it has become the habit to give upon all occasions when the China question is brought forward. The papers will be laid upon the table. Of course they will—in the full confidence that they will not read; though it is almost a moral certainty that this will be played once too often. Mr. Orwatt's explanation that the hostility of the part of the Chinese, and especially the wounding of several men in Her Majesty's service, at Swatow, "so far from being the result of our policy in China," had occurred in consequence of action taken in opposition to that policy, "was perhaps the most specious statement among the many specious and false statements that have recently been made in Parliament on Chinese affairs. It is diametrically opposed to the truth. Whatever room there may be for discussion as to the desirability or justifiability of the policy of taking steps heavily to redress grievances—with respect to which, however, such who have formed their conclusions from knowledge of China, and not from mere theory—can have very little doubt, there can be no question that that policy does attain the end of protecting foreign life and property, and rendering foreigners safe from the liability to mob attacks at the places where redress is thus obtained." Take the three most prominent instances of recent occurrence—Yangchow, Formosa, and Swatow. Until force was shown, opposition of every description prevailed, so that, so far as I was found that outrages could not be perpetrated on foreigners with impunity, they were no longer attempted. It is precisely because vigorous action was taken in the above cases that Sir Robertson Alcock was able to report, as triumphantly quoted by Mr. Orwatt, that "the Yangchow, Formosa, and Swatow there was entire security and improved position," and that "the best understanding existed with the foreign body at Pekin." With as astounding speciousness, Mr. Orwatt represents this state of affairs as the result of the communication of Lord Clarendon's instructions of 30th December, to Prince Kiso, the Goum, and the Admiral, "which circumstances happened to be mentioned in the same telegraphic despatch." The real fact, of course, was, that the security resulted from the lessons taught by the *Touching at Yangchow*, the *Algiers* at Formosa, and the *Bouwer and Cockchafer* at Swatow. It is very little likely that the communication of Lord Clarendon's instructions would affect the state of affairs at Formosa or Swatow, within a few weeks after their receipt; but they will infallibly do so within a few months in a very different way to what Mr. Orwatt represents.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall be repeated, and after seven days' further non-payment thereof, the Board may sue the shareholder for the amount of such call, with interest exceeding Twelve Dollars per cent. per annum interest theron, from the day appointed for payment thereof.

On the 1st instant, after seven days' non-payment of a call, notice of the call shall



